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ABSTRACT | Purpose: To evaluate the clinical course and management of infectious interface keratitis after Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty. Methods: A total of 352 cases that had undergone Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty were retrospectively reviewed. Patients with infectious interface keratitis during follow-up were analyzed. The microbiological analyses, time to infection onset, clinical findings, follow-up duration, treatment, and post-treatment corrected distance visual acuity were recorded. Results: IIK was detected in eight eyes of eight cases. Three fungal and three bacterial pathogens were identified in all cases. All patients received medical treatment according to culture sensitivity. Antifungal treatment was initiated in two cases with no growth on culture, with a preliminary diagnosis of fungal interface keratitis. Intrastral antifungal injections were performed in all patients with fungal infections. The median time to infection onset was 164 days (range: 2-282 days). The postoperative infectious interface keratitis developed in the early period in two cases. The mean follow-up duration was 13.4 ± 6.2 months (range: 6-26 months). Re-Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty was performed in two patients (25%) and therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty in four patients (50%) who did not recover with medical treatment. The final corrected distance visual acuity was 20/40 or better in five patients (62.5%). Conclusions: The diagnosis and treatment of infectious interface keratitis following Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty are challenging. Early surgical intervention should be preferred in the absence of response to medical treatment. Better graft survival and visual acuity can be achieved with therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty and re-Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty in patients with infectious interface keratitis.
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RESUMO | Objetivo: Avaliar o curso clínico e o manejo da ceratite infecciosa de interface após ceratoplastia endotelial da membrana de Descemet. Métodos: Um total de 352 casos submetidos a ceratoplastia endotelial da membrana de Descemet foram revisados retrospectivamente. Pacientes com ceratite infecciosa de interface foram analisados durante o acompanhamento. As análises microbiológicas, o tempo até o início da infecção, os achados clínicos, a duração do acompanhamento, o tratamento e a acuidade visual para longe corrigida pós-tratamento foram registrados. Resultados: Ceratite infecciosa de interface foi detectada em 8 olhos de 8 casos. Três patógenos fúngicos e três bacterianos foram identificados em todos os casos e receberam tratamento médico de acordo com a sensibilidade da cultura. O tratamento antifúngico foi iniciado em dois casos sem crescimento em cultura, com diagnóstico preliminar de ceratite infecciosa fúngica. Injeções antifúngicas intraestromais foram usadas em todos os casos com infecções fúngicas. O tempo médio para o início da infecção foi de 164 dias (variação: 2-282 dias). A ceratite infecciosa de interface pós-operatória desenvolveu-se no período inicial em dois casos. A duração média do acompanhamento foi de 13,4 ± 6,2 meses (variação: 6-26 meses). A ceratoplastia endotelial de membrana de Descemet foi realizada em dois casos (25%) e ceratoplastia penetrante terapêutica em quatro casos (50%) que não se recuperaram com tratamento médico. A acuidade visual para longe corrigida final foi de 20/40 ou melhor em 5/8 (62,5%) dos pacientes. Conclusões: O diagnóstico e o tratamento da ceratite infecciosa de interface após ceratoplastia endotelial da membrana de Descemet são difíceis. A intervenção cirúrgica precoce deve ser o procedimento preferido se não houver resposta ao tratamento médico. Melhor sobrevida do enxerto e melhor acuidade visual...
INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, anterior and posterior lamellar keratoplasties, such as deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty, Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK), or Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK), have supplanted penetrating keratoplasty (PK) in the selective replacement of diseased corneal stroma or endothelium\(^1\). Particularly, DMEK has become the standard surgery for bullous keratopathy and Fuchs’ endothelial corneal dystrophy globally due to better visual outcomes and rapid visual rehabilitation\(^2\). Additionally, DMEK is associated with lower endothelial rejection and general complication rates compared with PK\(^2\).

Unlike PK, DMEK and other lamellar keratoplasties have a surface between the donor graft and the recipient bed termed the graft-host interface\(^3\). However, the presence of a corneal interface facilitates the development of infectious keratitis. This anatomical level is a potential space for the growth of microorganisms and consequent development of infectious keratitis. Slow multiplication of some microorganisms and the standard use of steroids following keratoplasty can mask the typical infection signs\(^3\). Because the infection occurs below the deep corneal stroma, it can also complicate the microbiological evaluation and diagnosis\(^4\). The antimicrobial drugs used in medical treatment may not achieve adequate therapeutic doses in the target tissues, resulting in decreased effectiveness\(^4\). Therefore, the diagnosis and medical treatment of infectious interface keratitis (IIK) is challenging. IIK can decrease corneal graft transparency and, if not treated, cause graft failure, endophthalmitis, and severe vision loss\(^1,5,6\). Additionally, it may lead to serious visual loss in patients with DMEK, in whom good vision outcomes are commonly expected. Unfortunately, the research on this subject is currently limited, and there are no established treatment algorithms. This study aimed to present the clinical course and management of patients with IIK following DMEK.

METHODS

Data of 352 DMEK procedures performed between January 2014 and January 2020 were retrospectively reviewed from the medical records of patients. Patients diagnosed with IIK during the follow-up were included in the study. Conditions, such as epithelial ingrowth, noninfectious keratitis, or interface deposits that could also cause interface haze were excluded. This study was conducted according to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of our hospital (protocol number 2020/514/178/16). Written informed consent was provided by the patients prior to performing DMEK.

The data collected were the patient and donor age, cause of death, death-to-preservation time, storage time in the storage solution, indication for endothelial keratoplasty (EK) (polymerase chain reaction analysis was performed for cytomegalovirus-deoxyribonucleic acid) in the aqueous humor tap of case 7 and cytomegalovirus corneal endotheliitis was diagnosed as Japan corneal endotheliitis study\(^7\) previously), endothelial cell density of the donor grafts, surgical procedure, possible predisposing factors (Table 1), time to infection onset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Patient age (years)</th>
<th>Donor age (years)</th>
<th>Donor cause of death</th>
<th>DTPT (h)</th>
<th>Storage time (days)</th>
<th>Donor endothelial cell density (cells/mm(^2))</th>
<th>Indication for endothelial keratoplasty</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,345</td>
<td>PBK</td>
<td>DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,450</td>
<td>PBK</td>
<td>DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Fall from height</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,760</td>
<td>PBK</td>
<td>DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,547</td>
<td>FED</td>
<td>Triple DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Multiple trauma</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,857</td>
<td>PBK</td>
<td>Scleral-fixated IOL implantation + DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,651</td>
<td>FED</td>
<td>Triple DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,614</td>
<td>CMV endotheliitis</td>
<td>Re-DMEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,548</td>
<td>PBK</td>
<td>DMEK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMV= cytomegalovirus; DMEK= Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty; DTPT= death-to-preservation time; FED= Fuchs’ endothelial dystrophy; IOL= intraocular lens; PBK= pseudophakic bullous keratopathy.
(days), microbiological analyses, and culture results of the material obtained from the infected cornea or the changed or removed donor material, clinical findings on slit-lamp examination, treatment details, preoperative and final corrected distance vision acuity (CDVA), and any accompanying ocular and systemic disorders (Table 2).

All donor corneal buttons were provided by the University of Health Sciences Dr. Lutfi Kırdar Kartal City Hospital Eye Bank and stored in a short-term storage solution (Eusol-C®, Corneal Chamber; Alchimia, Ponte San Nicolò, Italy) at 4°C. Triple DMEK procedure was performed for the treatment of clinically significant coexisting cataract and Fuchs’ endothelial corneal dystrophy. Triple DMEK consists of EK following standard phacoemulsification surgery and intraocular lens implantation. DMEK, triple DMEK, and endothelial graft preparation were performed at the same stage using previously defined techniques. All prepared endo-

### Table 2. The clinical course and treatment details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Preoperative CDVA (Snellen)</th>
<th>Possible predisposing factors of IIK</th>
<th>Clinical signs on slit lamp examination</th>
<th>Microorganism isolated from specimen</th>
<th>Time to infection onset (days)</th>
<th>Medical treatment (topical and/or systemic)</th>
<th>Surgical treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up time (months)</th>
<th>Final CDVA (Snellen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Paracentral white interface spot infiltration</td>
<td>Candida keyfr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Topical amphotericin B (0.15%) Oral fluconazole 200 mg twice daily</td>
<td>Intrastromal voriconazole (50 µg/ml) 5 times TPK + intracameral voriconazole (50 µg/ml)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Contact lens</td>
<td>Paracentral 2×3 mm gray-white infiltration</td>
<td>Aspergillus fumigatus</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>Topical voriconazole (1%) IV voriconazole 200 mg twice daily</td>
<td>Intrastromal voriconazole (50 µg/ml) 3 times + AMT TPK + intracameral voriconazole (50 µg/ml)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>Plant-based trauma</td>
<td>Central 3×3 mm white infiltration</td>
<td>Aspergillus fumigatus</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>Topical voriconazole (1%) IV voriconazole 200 mg twice daily</td>
<td>Intrastromal voriconazole (50 µg/ml) 3 times + intracameral voriconazole (50 µg/ml)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Secondary graft failure TBCL Topical nepafenac</td>
<td>Paracentral 4×3 mm epithelial defect 3×3 mm yellow infiltration 2 mm hypopyon</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>Topical ceftazidime (5%) Topical gentamycin (1.4%) Oral ciprofloxacin 750 mg twice daily</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Anterior chamber IOL + multiple previous ocular surgery Epithelial ingrowth</td>
<td>Periféric 2×3 mm gray infiltration 1 mm hypopyon</td>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Topical vancomycin (5%) Oral amoxicillin clavulanic acid (1 g) twice daily</td>
<td>TPK + intracameral vancomycin (1 mg/0.1 ml)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Periféric 2×2 mm white infiltration</td>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>Topical vancomycin (5%) Topical gentamycin (1.4%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Secondary graft failure Paracentral 3×4 mm white infiltration</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Topical moxifloxacin 1% Topical voriconazole (1%) Oral voriconazole (400 mg) twice daily</td>
<td>Re-DMEK + intracameral voriconazole (50 µg/ml)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Paracentral white interface spot infiltration</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>Topical moxifloxacin (1%) Topical voriconazole (1%) Oral voriconazole (400 mg) twice daily</td>
<td>Re-DMEK + intracameral voriconazole (50 µg/ml)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMT = amniotic membrane transplantation; CDVA = corrected distance vision acuity; DMEK = Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty; IIK = infectious interface keratitis; IOL = intraocular lens; TBCL = therapeutic bandage contact lens; TPK = therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty
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The diagnosis of IIK was based on slit-lamp examination signs of infectious keratitis at the graft level during follow-up. For example, a fungal interface keratitis (IK) showed small white corneal interface spots with minimal anterior chamber inflammation (Figure 1). Worsening of the infection is manifested by an increase in the infiltration size and assuming less defines its limits accompanied by stromal edema. Using forceps, microbiological samples were obtained from the deep-seated infiltrate associated with the epithelial defect. In cases of intact epithelium, the anterior chamber was accessed through a side port incision, and approximately 1 mm of the infected posterior lamellar was incised using micro-vitrectomy scissors under viscoelastic material (sodium hyaluronate 1.4%; bio-hyaluronic acid EV; Biotechnology, India) as previously described\(^9\). The samples were sent to the laboratory for microbiological examinations.

According to the culture sensitivity results or clinical findings in cases with negative cultures, topical fortified antifungal and/or antibacterial treatment was started at an initial loading dose of eye drops every 5 min for the first 30 min, followed by eye drops hourly for 48 h. The frequency of the fortified drops was subsequently decreased to every 3 h. Systemic antifungal and/or antibacterial drugs were added according to the depth, size, and clinical progression of keratitis. In cases with fungal IK, intrastromal antifungal injections at 72-h intervals were administered according to the depth and size of the infiltration. In cases in which a lack of response to the medical treatment was observed, therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty (TPK) and re-DMEK were scheduled.

TPK and re-DMEK, including the whole endothelial graft, were performed using a donor cornea or endothelial graft 0.5 mm wider than the receiver’s infected cornea or endothelial graft. Anterior chamber irrigation was performed using antifungal or antibacterial drugs. The removed or replaced tissues were sent to the laboratory for microbiological analyses.

Samples were examined for the presence of aerobic, anaerobic, and fungal microorganisms. Thioglycolate broth was used for the isolation of organisms from the corneal culture. The sample was incubated in thioglycolate broth at 35°C for 24 h and plated onto media (5% sheep blood agar, chocolate agar, Brucella agar, and Sabouraud dextrose agar). All plates were incubated in 5%-7% CO\(_2\) at 35°C for 72 h. The plates were evaluated daily for the growth of microorganisms, and antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed following the detection of growth (VITEK 2\(^\text{®}\) Compact Systems; Bio-Merieux, France).

The administration of fortified antifungal/antibacterial drops used preoperatively (Table 2) was continued every 2 h during the initial 48 h of the postoperative period. Subsequently, treatment was tapered to every 3 h for an average of 3 weeks following TPK or re-DMEK. In case of fungal IK, topical cyclosporin 0.1% four times daily was added to this treatment regimen during the first postoperative week. In the absence of IK recurrence, topical cyclosporin was replaced with topical dexamethasone 0.1% twice daily. In case of bacterial IK, administration of topical dexamethasone 0.1% at least 3-5 times daily was initiated in the immediate postoperative period, with the dose adjusted according to the condition of each patient. Treatment with dexamethasone was subsequently replaced with loteprednol etabonate 0.5%, which was gradually tapered and eventually discontinued.

DMEK = Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty; IK = interface keratitis

Figure 1. Early fungal IK after DMEK. Patient 1: photograph 2 days after DMEK showing a single white interface spot (arrow).
Treatment success was defined as infection control without anterior chamber inflammation or interface infiltration. During follow-up, the preoperative and final CDVA were evaluated using the Snellen chart.

RESULTS

Eight eyes of eight patients who underwent DMEK and developed IIK during the follow-up were analyzed. The mean donor age was 61.7 ± 5.48 years (range: 54-68 years), and the commonest cause of death was cardiovascular disease. The mean death-to-preservation time was 3.62 ± 2.19 h (range: 1.5-8 h), and the mean storage time was 5 ± 2.5 days (range: 1-8 days). The mean donor endothelial cell density was 2,596.5 ± 163.6 cells/mm² (range: 2,345-2,760 cells/mm²). The demographic and clinical data of the patients and the donors are presented in Table 1. The mean age of patients was 69.1 ± 7.49 years (range: 52-76 years), and the median time to clinical infection was 164 days (range: 2-218 days) after DMEK. IIK findings were noted on postoperative days 2 and 7 in patients 1 (Figure 1) and 5, respectively, and subsequently in the remaining patients. Microbiological analyses and culture results revealed fungal infection in three patients (37.5%) (cases 1-3) and bacterial infection in three patients (37.5%) (patients 4-6) (Table 2). Antifungal treatment was initiated with a preliminary diagnosis of fungal IK in two patients (25%) in which no growth was detected on culture. Therefore, five patients (62.5%) received antifungal treatment. Multiple intrastromal antifungal injections were administered to the three patients (37.5%) (patients 1-3) with fungal growth detected on culture. Microbiological analyses and culture results were negative in patients 7 and 8. In patient 7, polymerase chain reaction analyses, performed twice for the aqueous humor tap, were negative for cytomegalovirus-deoxyribonucleic acid. Despite the accompanying glaucoma findings in patient 8, endothelial decompensation was not considered clinically. According to the microbiological findings of graft infection, a preliminary diagnosis of IIK was established in these patients, and empirical antibiotic therapy was initiated as described in the Methods section. Re-DMEK was performed for graft replacement in two patients (25%) (patients 7 and 8), and TPK was performed in four patients (50%) (patients 1, 2, 3, and 5) (Figure 2) because of the lack of improvement following medical treatment. Recurrent infection or endophthalmitis secondary to IIK was not observed in any patient. The final CDVA was 20/40 or better in five patients (62.5%). All patients were followed up for a mean duration of 13.4 ± 6.2 months (range: 6-26 months). The clinical course and treatment details are presented in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

IIK is a rare but critical complication of DMEK, which develops at the graft-host interface created during lamellar keratoplasty(3,10). A high level of suspicion is required for the preliminary diagnosis of IIK because infection usually manifests with minimal inflammatory signs and symptoms. Initially findings of IIK on slit-lamp examination include a typically clear cornea, or single/multiple gray-white infiltrates located at the graft-host interface(10). Therefore, early diagnosis and prompt treatment of IIK are important. Some studies have reported the occurrence of IIK after anterior lamellar keratoplasty and DSAEK(3,5,10-12). However, there are only a few case reports of IIK following DMEK and only one case series of fungal IK(10,11). To our knowledge, this is the first large series study of both fungal and bacterial IK following DMEK.

A report published by the Eye Bank Association of America revealed a higher frequency of fungal infections following EK (0.022%) than after PK (0.012%)(13). Fungal agents are the commonest pathogens associated with the development of IIK following keratoplasty (10,11). Augustin et al. reported a fungal IK rate of 0.15% in their series(14). In the present study, fungal culture was positive in three patients (37.5%); of note, the clinical course was suspicious for fungal keratitis in two patients (25%)

![Figure 2. Postoperative slit-lamp photograph of the same eye (patient 1 in Table 2) a few months after therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty showing a clear cornea.](image-url)
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The IIK onset time in our study demonstrated a wide range (2-282 days). Our two early-onset cases with Candida and Enterococcus growth (patients 1 and 5) exhibited a rapid and aggressive course. The course of most early-onset IK cases reported after EK has been sudden and aggressive\(^{13,14}\). We considered contamination of the donor or storage solution as the source of the infection in these cases, as reported in the literature. However, it was not possible to prove this, as we were unable to culture the donor rim or storage solution\(^{11,21}\). Corneas obtained from donors after their death due to cardiovascular causes have been associated with an increased risk of fungal contamination after keratoplasty\(^22\). Therefore, the cause of death of the donor in patient 1 may have facilitated the development of fungal IIK. The risk factor for patient 2 could be the multiple ocular surgeries performed prior to triple DMEK, as this has been reported as the major risk factor for Enterococcus keratitis in the literature\(^23\). In their case report, Beckman et al. emphasized that IIK of donor origin is not exclusively observed in the early period. They reported that despite the positive donor culture and prophylactic treatment of their patients, fungal IIK developed during the first year after DSEK\(^24\). Both early- and late-onset IIK can be of donor origin. Therefore, it is important to perform donor rim culture to plan the appropriate treatment regimen\(^{10,11,14,24}\).

Graft failure was the common feature of late-onset cases (patients 4 and 7). The therapeutic bandage contact lens may have facilitated the development of IK of Pseudomonas origin in patient 4, in whom epitheliopathy accompanied graft failure; moreover, the use of 0.1% topical nepafenac could have accelerated the epitheliopathy\(^{25,26}\). IIK develops at the graft-host interface; however, in these patients, the infection may have facilitated its progression at the interface, possibly with an epithelial defect. In their IK case of Nocardia origin, Srirampur et al. reported a clinical course and etiopathogenesis similar to those of the present patient 4\(^27\). Therefore, it is important to monitor the patients for ocular surface dysfunction, as this condition can accompany post-DMEK graft failure.

In this series, TPK, re-DMEK, and medical treatment alone were employed in 50%, 25%, and 25% of all cases, respectively. These results are similar to those reported in an IIK review involving 62 cases: TPK in 62.9%, lamellar keratoplasty alone in 12.9%, and medical treatment alone in 24.2%\(^{13}\). These results indicate that surgical treatment should be preferred in IIK when the desired outcome is not achieved with medical treatment.
A limitation of this study was that we were unable to obtain donor rim cultures in contrast to what has been previously reported in the literature. The lack of anterior segment optic coherence tomography or confocal microscopy findings that would have supported our conclusions is another limitation. However, our study is valuable as it is the first series presenting fungal and bacterial IK after DMEK. Furthermore, it also included a large series of patients. In addition, this is the first study to report IK of *Aspergillus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Pseudomonas* origin following DMEK.

In conclusion, careful clinical examination and microbiological evaluation are the main principles for the management of IIK. Although it is possible to partially eliminate the infection with medical and intrastromal treatment, re-DMEK or TPK is required in most of these patients. Through these surgical approaches, it is possible to ensure anatomical, clinical, and visual improvement in these patients.
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